



**US Army Corps
of Engineers®**
Savannah District

Glades Reservoir Draft Environmental Impact Statement

October 2015



Draft Environmental Impact Statement

MASTER TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1 PURPOSE AND NEED

1.1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1.1	Project Background	1-1
1.1.2	Applicant’s Proposed Project.....	1-4
1.2	APPLICANT’S STATED PURPOSE AND NEED	1-8
1.2.1	Applicant’s Stated Purpose.....	1-8
1.2.2	Applicant’s Stated Need	1-8
1.3	HALL COUNTY BACKGROUND.....	1-9
1.3.1	Background	1-9
1.3.2	Hall County’s Statutory Authorities and Responsibilities	1-11
1.3.3	Service Area for the Proposed Project	1-11
1.4	CURRENT WATER SERVICES	1-11
1.4.1	Overview of Water Systems in Hall County.....	1-11
1.4.2	2006 Intergovernmental Lease and Management Agreement	1-12
1.4.3	Water Supply Sources	1-15
1.4.4	Water Treatment Facilities.....	1-17
1.4.5	Current Water Use	1-18
1.4.6	Current Water Conservation Programs	1-21
1.5	THE U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS’ DETERMINED PURPOSE.....	1-22
1.5.1	The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers’ Basic Purpose and Water Dependency Determination	1-22
1.5.2	The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers’ Overall Project Purpose	1-22
1.6	THE CORPS’ NEED ANALYSIS.....	1-22
1.6.1	Population Projections	1-23
1.6.2	Availability and Reliability of Lake Lanier Water Supply Storage.....	1-26
1.6.3	Demand Forecasts.....	1-27
1.6.4	Factors and Demand Forecast Model used for Hall County’s Future Demand Forecasts	1-28
1.6.5	The Corps’ Demand Projection Scenarios	1-29
1.6.6	The Corps’ Determination of Need	1-32
1.7	ADMINISTRATIVE AND ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS (OTHER PERMITS AND APPROVALS).....	1-35
1.7.1	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.....	1-36
1.7.2	Georgia Environmental Protection Division	1-36
1.7.3	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.....	1-37
1.7.4	Metropolitan North Georgia Water Planning District.....	1-37
1.8	DEIS PREPARATION.....	1-37

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

1.9	DEIS ORGANIZATION.....	1-38
2	ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS	
2.1	INTRODUCTION	2-1
2.2	OVERVIEW OF ALTERNATIVES IDENTIFICATION AND SCREENING PROCESS.....	2-2
2.2.1	Potential Water Supply and Infrastructure Components.....	2-3
2.2.2	Water Supply Alternatives.....	2-4
2.3	PHASE 1: WATER SUPPLY INFRASTRUCTURE COMPONENTS.....	2-5
2.3.1	Phase 1A - Screening of Components for Feasibility.....	2-11
2.3.2	Phase 1B – Preliminary Environmental Screening.....	2-15
2.4	FINAL SCREENED COMPONENTS	2-20
2.4.1	Water Purchase from Jackson County.....	2-22
2.4.2	Groundwater.....	2-22
2.4.3	Additional Conservation	2-22
2.4.4	Lake Lanier Additional Storage Allocation.....	2-23
2.4.5	Cedar Creek Reservoir	2-23
2.4.6	New Reservoir Sites.....	2-23
2.4.7	Transmission	2-34
2.5	PHASE 2: FORMULATION AND SCREENING OF ALTERNATIVES.....	2-39
2.5.1	Definition of Unmet Need	2-39
2.5.2	Phase 2A: Formulation of Alternatives	2-41
2.5.3	Phase 2B: Screening of Formulated Alternatives	2-44
2.5.3.1	Stream Impact Assessment.....	2-46
2.6	OVERVIEW OF SCREENED EIS ALTERNATIVES	2-64
2.6.1	Applicant’s Proposed Project.....	2-64
2.6.2	No Action Alternative (L60).....	2-66
2.6.3	Formulated Alternatives	2-70
2.7	EIS ALTERNATIVES PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING: CONSTRUCTION LOGISTICS	2-93
2.7.1	Construction Schedule, Sequencing, and Permit Conditions.....	2-93
2.7.2	Temporary Sediment and Erosion Control.....	2-101
2.7.3	Dam and Reservoir Construction Methods.....	2-101
2.7.4	Transmission System and Treatment Plant Construction Methods	2-102
2.7.5	Construction Equipment.....	2-103
2.7.6	Construction Traffic	2-104
2.7.7	Construction Workforce	2-106
2.7.8	Post-Construction Activities.....	2-106
2.8	EIS ALTERNATIVES PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING: ESTIMATED COST OF BUILD ALTERNATIVES.....	2-106
3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	
3.1	INTRODUCTION	3-1

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

3.2	REGIONAL SETTING AND MAJOR INFRASTRUCTURE COMPONENTS	3-2
3.3	WATER RESOURCES.....	3-4
3.3.1	Surface Water Hydrology	3-4
3.3.2	ACF Water Management.....	3-23
3.3.3	Water Quality	3-35
3.3.4	Floodplains	3-41
3.3.5	Groundwater	3-45
3.4	SOILS AND GEOLOGY	3-50
3.4.1	Physiography	3-50
3.4.2	Mineral Resources.....	3-51
3.4.3	Piedmont Hydrogeology.....	3-52
3.4.4	Soils	3-52
3.4.5	Prime and Unique Farmlands	3-53
3.5	LAND USE	3-54
3.6	CLIMATE AND GREENHOUSE GAS.....	3-56
3.6.1	Existing Climate	3-56
3.6.2	Temperature	3-57
3.6.3	Historical Precipitation and Droughts.....	3-59
3.6.4	Evaporation	3-63
3.7	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	3-66
3.7.1	Upland Vegetation	3-66
3.7.2	Wetlands, Streams, and Other Waters.....	3-73
3.7.3	Wildlife	3-85
3.7.4	Protected Species.....	3-88
3.8	SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS	3-103
3.8.1	Demographics and Environmental Justice.....	3-103
3.8.2	Housing, Communities, and Transportation.....	3-110
3.8.3	Economic Trends	3-115
3.8.4	Recreation	3-117
3.9	VISUAL AND AESTHETIC RESOURCES.....	3-122
3.9.1	Glades Reservoir Alternatives.....	3-123
3.9.2	White Creek Reservoir Alternatives.....	3-124
3.10	AIR QUALITY	3-126
3.10.1	Ambient Air Quality	3-126
3.10.2	Greenhouse Gases (Climate Change)	3-127
3.11	NOISE	3-129
3.11.1	Definitions and Common Sound Levels.....	3-129
3.11.2	Local Noise Ordinances and Existing Noise Conditions.....	3-131
3.12	CULTURAL RESOURCES	3-133
3.12.1	Regulatory Context.....	3-133

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

3.12.2	Area of Potential Effects.....	3-134
3.12.3	Summary	3-142
3.13	NATIVE AMERICANS	3-143
3.14	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	3-143
4	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	
4.1	INTRODUCTION	4-1
4.1.1	Types and Definitions of Impacts	4-1
4.1.2	Level of Impact and Description	4-2
4.2	APPROACH FOR EVALUATING IMPACTS	4-2
4.2.1	Summary of Alternatives Carried Forward for Impact Analysis.....	4-3
4.2.2	Planning Horizon	4-4
4.2.3	Alternative Implementation	4-5
4.2.4	Baseline Conditions	4-6
4.2.5	Key Assumptions for Impact Comparison.....	4-7
4.3	SURFACE WATER MANAGEMENT AND ASSESSMENT	4-10
4.3.1	Instream Flow Protection	4-13
4.3.2	Direct Impact to Streamflow Upstream of Lake Lanier	4-18
4.3.3	Upstream Flow Alteration	4-19
4.3.4	Indirect Impact Evaluation to the ACF Basin.....	4-52
4.3.5	Downstream Impacts	4-60
4.3.6	Groundwater	4-93
4.4	SURFACE WATER QUALITY	4-94
4.4.1	Water Quality in Chattahoochee River Below Proposed Lake Intake and Above Lake Lanier.....	4-94
4.4.2	Water Quality in the Proposed Reservoirs	4-95
4.4.3	Downstream Water Quality Effects.....	4-101
4.4.4	Watershed Protection and Management.....	4-102
4.5	IMPACTS TO SOILS AND GEOLOGY	4-107
4.5.1	Soil Erosion, Topsoil Loss, and Permanent Construction Impacts.....	4-107
4.5.2	Mining and Mineral Needs	4-107
4.5.3	Prime and Unique Farmland.....	4-108
4.5.4	Summary of Impacts by Alternatives.....	4-108
4.6	LAND USE	4-110
4.6.1	Applicant’s Proposed Project (L18-G50-PT).....	4-111
4.6.2	Alternative 1 (L18-G42-PT)	4-112
4.6.3	Alternative 2 (L18-G42-PL)	4-113
4.6.4	Alternative 3 (L18-G42-WTP).....	4-114
4.6.5	Alternative 4 (L30-G30-PT)	4-115
4.6.6	Alternative 5 (L30-G30-PL)	4-116
4.6.7	Alternative 6 (L30-G30-WTP).....	4-117

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

4.6.8	Alternative 7 (L43-G17-PT)	4-118
4.6.9	Alternative 8 (L43-G17-PL)	4-119
4.6.10	Alternative 9 (L43-G17-WTP).....	4-120
4.6.11	Alternative 10 (L43-W17-PT)	4-121
4.6.12	Alternative 11 (L43-W17-PL)	4-122
4.6.13	No Action – L60	4-123
4.6.14	Road Impacts.....	4-123
4.6.15	Topography Impacts.....	4-123
4.7	ENERGY NEEDS AND CLIMATE (GREENHOUSE GAS).....	4-124
4.8	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	4-127
4.8.1	Upland Vegetation	4-127
4.8.2	Wetlands, Streams, and Other Waters.....	4-131
4.8.3	Wildlife	4-135
4.9	SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS	4-158
4.9.1	Housing, Communities, and Transportation.....	4-158
4.9.2	Demographics and Environmental Justice.....	4-168
4.9.3	Recreation	4-173
4.9.4	Economics	4-184
4.10	VISUAL AND AESTHETIC RESOURCES.....	4-191
4.10.1	Direct Impacts	4-191
4.11	AIR QUALITY	4-197
4.11.1	Background	4-197
4.11.2	Methodology.....	4-197
4.11.3	Project Air Emissions	4-198
4.11.4	Results.....	4-206
4.11.5	Mitigation and Monitoring.....	4-207
4.12	NOISE	4-208
4.12.1	Reservoir and Dam (All Reservoir Alternatives).....	4-208
4.12.2	River Water Transmission Systems (All Reservoir Alternatives)	4-210
4.12.3	Reservoir Transmission Systems (All Reservoir Alternatives)	4-211
4.12.4	Water Treatment Plant.....	4-211
4.12.5	Local Re-routed Roads.....	4-212
4.12.6	Potential Mitigation.....	4-212
4.12.7	No Action Alternative	4-213
4.13	CULTURAL RESOURCES	4-214
4.13.1	Summary Impacts for All Reservoir Alternatives	4-214
4.13.2	Mitigation and Monitoring	4-215
4.13.3	Unavoidable Adverse Impacts.....	4-215
4.14	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	4-216
4.14.1	Glades Reservoir.....	4-216

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

4.14.2	White Creek Reservoir.....	4-218
4.15	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	4-221
4.15.1	Introduction	4-221
4.15.2	Methodology.....	4-221
4.15.3	Analysis of Cumulative Impacts.....	4-231
5	PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND AGENCY COORDINATION	
5.1	PUBLIC SCOPING	5-1
5.1.1	Public Scoping Meetings	5-1
5.1.2	Agency Scoping Coordination Meetings	5-2
5.1.3	Scoping Comments and Report	5-2
5.1.4	Legal Notice	5-3
5.2	PUBLIC HEARING.....	5-3
5.3	AGENCY COORDINATION.....	5-3
5.3.1	Cooperating Agencies	5-3
5.3.2	Other Agencies	5-4
5.4	EIS PREPARATION TEAM.....	5-4
5.4.1	Applicant.....	5-4
5.4.2	Federal Lead Agency.....	5-4
5.4.3	Third-party Consultant.....	5-5

REFERENCES

APPENDICES

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Surface Water Withdrawal Permits in Hall County (April 2012) 1-15

Table 1.2 Non-Farm Groundwater Withdrawal Permits in Hall County (October 2011)..... 1-17

Table 1.3 Average Groundwater Withdrawal by Major Public and Industrial Users in Hall County (2000- 2009) 1-20

Table 1.4 U.S. Census Historical Population for Hall County¹ (1820-2000) 1-23

Table 1.5 Revised Hall County Population Projections..... 1-25

Table 1.6 Summary of Water Conservation Measures Modeled 1-30

Table 1.7 Targeted Non-Revenue Water for Demand Modeling Scenarios 1-30

Table 1.8 2060 Water Demand Projections (all values shown are based on annual average daily basis) 1-34

Table 1.9 Potential Permits and Approvals for the Glades Reservoir Project..... 1-36

Table 2.1 Categories of Water Supply Sources and Infrastructure Components 2-4

Table 2.2 Phase 1 List of Water Supply Components..... 2-6

Table 2.3 Phase 1A Screening Criteria for Water Supply Components 2-12

Table 2.4 Water Supply Components Remaining after Phase 1A Screening 2-13

Table 2.5 Phase 1B Screening Summary for New Reservoir Sites 2-18

Table 2.6 Phase 1 Final Screened Water Supply Components 2-20

Table 2.7 New Reservoir Sites – Reservoir Characteristics Summary 2-24

Table 2.8 Range of Estimated Reservoir Yield and Effective Pumping Rate for Pumped-Storage Reservoir Operation at Reservoir Sites Passed Phase 1 Screening..... 2-26

Table 2.9 Glades Reservoir Characteristics 2-27

Table 2.10 White Creek Reservoir Characteristics 2-29

Table 2.11 Upper Mud Creek Reservoir Characteristics..... 2-31

Table 2.12 Lower Mud Creek Reservoir Characteristics..... 2-33

Table 2.13 Summary of components and potential quantity (all value shown in annual average basis) 2-40

Table 2.14 2060 Additional Water Supply Need – Applicant and Corps Baseline 2-41

Table 2.15 Formulated Alternatives (all quantities expressed in annual average quantity) 2-43

Table 2.16 Reservoir and Pipeline Components of EIS Alternatives..... 2-46

Table 2.17 Phase 2B Screening –Stream Impacts 2-47

Table 2.18 Stream Type Scoring..... 2-48

Table 2.19 Priority Area Scoring..... 2-49

Table 2.20 Existing Condition Scoring 2-51

Table 2.21 Sample Stream Scoring – Glades Reservoir Site 2-52

Table 2.22 Phase 2 Screening –Wetland Impacts 2-53

Table 2.23 Existing Condition Definition and Score 2-54

Table 2.24 Lost Kind Definition and Score 2-54

Table 2.25 Rarity Definition and Score..... 2-55

Table 2.26 Sample Stream Scoring – Glades Reservoir Site 2-56

Table 2.27 Phase 2 Screening –Displacements 2-58

Table 2.28 Phase 2 Screening – Summary of Quantity (Impact) and Quality Scores..... 2-60

Table 2.29 Phase 2 Screening – Results 2-63

Table 2.30 Construction Elements in Applicant’s Proposed Project (L18-G50-PT)..... 2-64

Table 2.31 Summary of Alternatives..... 2-70

Table 2.32 Construction Elements in Alternative 1 (L18-G42-PT) 2-71

Table 2.33 Construction Elements in Alternative 2 (L18-G42-PL) 2-73

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Table 2.34 Construction Elements in Alternative 3 (L18-G42-WTP).....	2-75
Table 2.35 Construction Elements in Alternative 4 (L30-G30-PT)	2-77
Table 2.36 Construction Elements in Alternative 5 (L30-G30-PL)	2-79
Table 2.37 Construction Elements in Alternative 6 (L30-G30-WTP).....	2-81
Table 2.38 Construction Elements in Alternative 7 (L43-G17-PT)	2-83
Table 2.39 Construction Elements in Alternative 8 (L43-G17-PL)	2-85
Table 2.40 Construction Elements in Alternative 9 (L43-G17-WTP).....	2-87
Table 2.41 Construction Elements in Alternative 10 (L43-W17-PT)	2-89
Table 2.42 Construction Elements in Alternative 11 (L43-W17-PL)	2-91
Table 2.43 Typical Construction Sequences.....	2-97
Table 2.44 Approximate Implementation Timeline	2-99
Table 2.45 Typical Construction Equipment	2-104
Table 2.46 Construction Manpower Estimate (Full-time Equivalent Workers).....	2-106
Table 2.47 Estimated Costs of Build Alternatives.....	2-107
Table 3.1 Summary of Water Supply Infrastructure Components of the Alternatives Carried Forward to be Analyzed in Detail.....	3-3
Table 3.2 Drainage Areas of Potential Reservoir Sites and River Raw Water Intakes (sq mi).....	3-6
Table 3.3 Chattahoochee River Daily Streamflow Values (cfs) based on Percent Exceedance at USGS Gages at Cornelia, Atlanta, Whitesburg, and Columbus, GA	3-9
Table 3.4 Apalachicola River Daily Streamflow Values (cfs) based on Percent Exceedance at USGS Gages at Chattahoochee and Blountstown, FL (1939–2011).....	3-12
Table 3.5 Major Dams and Impoundments in the ACF River Basin.....	3-13
Table 3.6 Summary of Daily Streamflow at the Proposed River Intake Locations.....	3-17
Table 3.7 Summary of Daily Streamflow Flow at Alternative Dam Sites (1985–2012) (cfs)	3-21
Table 3.8 Water Withdrawals from Lake Lanier – 2011.....	3-31
Table 3.9 Water Withdrawals from the Chattahoochee River below Lake Lanier – 2011.....	3-31
Table 3.10 Summary of Daily Discharge from Jim Woodruff in the ACF River Basin (cfs)	3-32
Table 3.11 Summary of Average Daily Hydropower Production	3-33
Table 3.12 Recreation Impact Levels (ft MSL).....	3-34
Table 3.13 Number of Times the Water Surface Level Drops Below the Reservoir Recreation Levels	3-34
Table 3.14 Water Use Classification – Upper Chattahoochee River (Headwater to Lake Lanier).....	3-35
Table 3.15 Water Quality Criteria for Recreation Designated Use.....	3-36
Table 3.16 Water Quality Criteria for Drinking Water Supply Designated Use	3-36
Table 3.17 Site-specific Standards in Georgia and Alabama in the ACF Basin.....	3-37
Table 3.18 Summary of 2012 305(b)/303(d) Listing Status for Streams in the Upper Chattahoochee River Watershed	3-40
Table 3.19 2005 Groundwater Use in Hall County.....	3-47
Table 3.20 Average Groundwater Withdrawal by Major Public and Industrial Users in Hall County (2000–2009)..	3-48
Table 3.21 Groundwater Withdrawal Permits for Non-Farm Use in Hall County	3-49
Table 3.22 Groundwater Withdrawal Permits for Farm Use.....	3-49
Table 3.23 Drinking Water System Permit (as of May 2013)	3-50
Table 3.24 Hydraulic Conductivity and Hydrologic Soil Groups	3-53
Table 3.25 Hydrologic Soil Groups – Glades Reservoir and White Creek Reservoir	3-53
Table 3.26 Important Farmland – Glades Reservoir and White Creek Reservoir	3-54
Table 3.27 Georgia Land Use Trends for Glades Reservoir Alternatives	3-56

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Table 3.28 Georgia Land Use Trends for White Creek Reservoir Alternatives3-56

Table 3.29 Lowest Recorded Annual Precipitation in Hall County, GA (1872–2012)3-61

Table 3.30 Vegetation Types in Alternatives Carried Forward for Further Evaluation3-66

Table 3.31 Vegetative Communities – Glades Reservoir Alternatives3-69

Table 3.32 Vegetative Communities – White Creek Reservoir Alternatives3-69

Table 3.33 Evergreen Forest Species3-70

Table 3.34 Vegetation – Forested Wetland Species.....3-72

Table 3.35 Vegetation – Non-Forested Wetland Species.....3-73

Table 3.36 Wetlands Types Identified within the Glades Reservoir Site3-80

Table 3.37 Waterbodies Delineated within the Glades Reservoir Site and Transmission Main3-81

Table 3.38 Waterbody Quality within the Glades Reservoir Site3-81

Table 3.39 Wetland Types Identified along the Glades Reservoir Transmission Main Corridor.....3-82

Table 3.40 Waterbodies Identified along the Glades Reservoir Transmission Main Corridor3-82

Table 3.41 Wetlands Associated with White Creek Reservoir Alternatives3-83

Table 3.42 Waterbodies Associated with White Creek Alternatives.....3-83

Table 3.43 Waterbody Quality Associated with White Creek Alternatives Carried Forward to be Analyzed in Detail.....
3-84

Table 3.44 Mammal Species in Piedmont Ecoregion3-85

Table 3.45 Bird Species in Piedmont Ecoregion3-86

Table 3.46 Reptile Species in Piedmont Ecoregion3-87

Table 3.47 Crayfishes of the Chattahoochee River System3-88

Table 3.48 Federal and State Protected Species potentially occurring within Affected Area3-90

Table 3.49 Fishes Collected from Flat Creek at the Proposed Glades Reservoir Dam Site3-99

Table 3.50 Fishes Collected from Unnamed Tributary to Flat Creek Upstream of Proposed Glades Reservoir Dam Site
3-100

Table 3.51 Fishes Collected within Flat Creek Upstream of Glades Reservoir Dam Site off Romey Savage Road ...3-100

Table 3.52 Fishes Collected from Unnamed Tributary to Flat Creek Upstream of Glades Reservoir Dam Site3-100

Table 3.53 Fish Species and Abundance by Habitat Type – Chattahoochee River from Proposed Glades Reservoir River
 Intake to Lula Bridge3-102

Table 3.54 Demographic Overview (2008–2012).....3-105

Table 3.55 Low-Income Population Data3-105

Table 3.56 Alternatives and Demographic Data3-106

Table 3.57 Top Ten Employers by County*3-115

Table 3.58 Existing Industry Establishments (2010).....3-116

Table 3.59 Resident Fish Species Grouped by Habitat Type3-119

Table 3.60 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).....3-127

Table 3.61 Common Sounds Sources and Levels3-130

Table 3.62 General Construction Equipment Sound Levels3-131

Table 3.63 Glades Reservoir: Summary of Cultural Resource Investigations3-135

Table 3.64 Glades Reservoir APE: Archaeological Sites.....3-135

Table 3.65 Isolated Archaeological Finds within the Glades Reservoir APE3-136

Table 3.66 Historic Structures within Glades Reservoir APE13-138

Table 3.67 Transmission Main & Pumping Stations: Previous Investigations3-141

Table 3.68 Transmission Lines & Pumping Stations: Archaeological Sites within the APE3-141

Table 3.69 Historic Properties within Glades Reservoir and White Creek Reservoir Transmission Systems APE.....3-142

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Table 3.70 Resource Summary Table.....3-143

Table 4.1 Summary of Alternatives.....4-4

Table 4.2 Proposed 2-Stage IFPT at Chattahoochee River Intake for Glades Reservoir1,24-15

Table 4.3 Proposed IFPT at White Creek Reservoir Chattahoochee River Intake1.....4-17

Table 4.4 Proposed IFPT at Below Dam on White Creek1.....4-17

Table 4.5 Chattahoochee River Intake Pump Station Capacity and Annual Average Pumping Quantities4-20

Table 4.6 Estimated Daily Average, Minimum, and Maximum Flow (cfs) at the Chattahoochee River Intake Locations with and Without a Project.....4-21

Table 4.7 Duration (in Percent of Time) and Magnitude (Percent Change from Baseline) of the Daily Flow Change at the Chattahoochee River Intake4-23

Table 4.8 Estimated Average, Minimum and Maximum Daily Flow (cfs) below the Proposed Dam With and Without a Project4-34

Table 4.9 Duration (in Percent of Time) and Magnitude (Percent Change from Baseline) of the Daily Flow Increases below the Proposed Dam4-36

Table 4.10 Duration (in Percent of Time) and Magnitude (Percent Change from Baseline) of the Daily Flow Decreases below the Proposed Dam4-37

Table 4.11 Estimated Average, Minimum and Maximum Daily Flow (cfs) into Lake Lanier With and Without a Project4-43

Table 4.12 Duration (in Percent of Time) and Magnitude (Percent Change from Baseline) of the Daily Flow Increases into Lake Lanier.....4-46

Table 4.13 Duration (in Percent of Time) and Magnitude (Percent Change from Baseline) of the Daily Flow Decreases into Lake Lanier.....4-47

Table 4.14 Estimated Conservation Storage Volume above Buford Dam (BG)4-56

Table 4.15 Summary of Average Annual Water Supply Demands (Withdrawals) and Treated Wastewater Returns from GA Water Supply Request (2013).....4-58

Table 4.16 Summary of Withdrawals from Water Supply Alternative, Lake Lanier and Total Hall County Allocation (mgd, AAD).....4-59

Table 4.17 Average Daily Simulated Flow (cfs) at Select Model Nodes from Upstream to Downstream.....4-61

Table 4.18 Percent Change in Average Daily Simulated Flow from Baseline/No Action Alternative1.....4-62

Table 4.19 Average Daily Simulated Pool Elevation for Corp Projects (ft MSL)1.....4-67

Table 4.20 Lowest Lake Lanier Water Surface Elevation (ft MSL) During the 1986, 2001, and 2008 Drought Periods4-70

Table 4.21 Lowest West Point Lake Water Surface Elevation (ft MSL) During the 1986, 2001, and 2008 Drought Periods.....4-73

Table 4.22 Estimated Range of Net Evaporation from the Proposed Reservoir (mgd)1, 2,34-76

Table 4.23 Average Daily Simulated Discharge for Corp Projects (cfs)1.....4-78

Table 4.24 Percent Change in Average Daily Simulated Discharge from Baseline/No Action for Corp Projects14-79

Table 4.25 Average Daily Energy Generation from Corps Projects (MWh)14-82

Table 4.26 Percent Change in Average Annual Energy Generation from Corps Project for Baseline/No Action1.....4-83

Table 4.27 Average Daily Power Capacity from the Major Corps Projects (MW)1.....4-84

Table 4.28 Average Daily Power Head from the Major Corps Projects (ft)4-85

Table 4.29 Number of Times Pool Falls Below RILs at Each Federal Reservoir.....4-87

Table 4.30 Percent of Time the Pool Falls Below RILs at Each Federal Reservoir.....4-88

Table 4.31 Drought Operations Time and Trigger.....4-93

Table 4.32 Estimated Baseline Annual Water Budgets (Hydraulic Inputs and Water Loading).....4-96

Table 4.33 Sources of TP Load to Proposed Glades and White Creek Reservoirs – Without Pumping from

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Chattahoochee River	4-97
Table 4.34 Water Quality Parameters – Current Conditions.....	4-99
Table 4.35 Water Quality Parameter – 2060 Conditions	4-100
Table 4.36 Estimated Sediment Volume in the Proposed Reservoir.....	4-101
Table 4.37 Water Supply Watershed Protection Criteria	4-102
Table 4.38 Soils and Farmland Impact Summary	4-109
Table 4.39 Land Disturbance Summary Table.....	4-110
Table 4.40 Applicant’s Proposed Project (L18-G50-PT) Land Use Changes.....	4-111
Table 4.41 Alternative 1 (L18-G42-PT) Land Use Changes	4-112
Table 4.42 Alternative 2 (L18-G42-PL) Land Use Changes	4-113
Table 4.43 Alternative 3 (L18-G42-WTP) Land Use Changes.....	4-114
Table 4.44 Alternative 4 (L30-G30-PT) Land Use Changes	4-115
Table 4.45 Alternative 5 (L30-G30-PL) Land Use Changes	4-116
Table 4.46 Alternative 6 (L30-G30-WTP) Land Use Changes.....	4-117
Table 4.47 Alternative 7 (L43-G17-PT) Land Use Changes	4-118
Table 4.48 Alternative 8 (L43-G17-PL) Land Use Changes	4-119
Table 4.49 Alternative 9 (L43-G17-WTP) Land Use Changes.....	4-120
Table 4.50 Alternative 10 (L43-W17-PT) Land Use Changes	4-121
Table 4.51 Alternative 11 (L43-W17-PL) Land Use Changes	4-122
Table 4.52 Land Use Changes from Road Relocation and Replacement	4-123
Table 4.53 GHG Impacts	4-126
Table 4.54 Permanent Impacts on Vegetative Community by Alternative	4-129
Table 4.55 Category 1 Exotic Plant Species.....	4-131
Table 4.56 Wetland Area Impacts (acres).....	4-133
Table 4.57 Stream Impacts (Linear Feet)	4-133
Table 4.58 Wetland and Open Water Mitigation – Estimated Credits Required by Water Supply Infrastructure Components	4-134
Table 4.59 Stream Mitigation – Stream Credits Required.....	4-135
Table 4.60 Migratory Bird Species	4-142
Table 4.61 Type of Structure Impacted by Glades Reservoir	4-159
Table 4.62 Glades Reservoir Road Impacts.....	4-161
Table 4.63 Type of Structure Impacted by White Creek Reservoir Alternatives	4-164
Table 4.64 White Creek Reservoir Road Impacts.....	4-165
Table 4.65 Summary of Housing/Community/Transportation Impacts	4-167
Table 4.66 – Environmental Justice Block Groups (% population and # of people)	4-171
Table 4.67 Summary of All Alternatives - Environmental Justice.....	4-173
Table 4.68 Frequency of Flow below Recreational Flow Criteria under various Flow Conditions (1939- 2012).....	4-179
Table 4.69 Summary of Impacts to Recreational Activities in the Chattahoochee River.....	4-179
Table 4.70 Summary of All Alternatives - Recreation.....	4-183
Table 4.71 Glades Alternatives Project Average Workforce	4-186
Table 4.72 White Creek Alternatives Project Average Workforce	4-187
Table 4.73 Breakdown of Sponsor Funding Sources	4-188
Table 4.74 Capital Cost Estimates	4-189
Table 4.75 Summary of All Alternatives - Economic Impacts.....	4-190
Table 4.76 Visual/Aesthetic Impact Summary	4-196

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Table 4.77 De minimis Thresholds for Pollutants.....	4-198
Table 4.78 Air Emissions of Construction Equipment	4-200
Table 4.79 – Summary of All Alternatives- Air Quality Impacts.....	4-208
Table 4.80 Noise Levels Associated with Outdoor Construction.....	4-209
Table 4.81 Summary of All Alternatives- Noise Impacts	4-213
Table 4.82 Cultural Resources Impacted by Alternatives.....	4-214
Table 4.83 RCRA Reporting Facilities within 500 Feet of Reservoir Transmission System	4-218
Table 4.84 Summary of All Alternatives- Hazardous Materials.....	4-220
Table 4.85 Type of Cumulative Effects Analysis by Resource Area	4-225
Table 4.86 Cumulative Impacts – Water-based Actions.....	4-226
Table 4.87 Cumulative Impacts – Land-based Actions, Development Projects.....	4-227
Table 4.88 Cumulative Impacts – Land-based Actions, Transportation Projects	4-229
Table 4.89 Summary of Annual Average Water Supply Withdrawals and Treated Wastewater Returns for Metro Atlanta Area for the Baseline (2011) and 2060 Demand Conditions.....	4-232
Table 4.90 Soils and Farmland Cumulative Impact Summary	4-235
Table 4.91 Land Use Cumulative Impact Summary – Hall County.....	4-236
Table 4.92 Land Use Change from Baseline Conditions - Cumulative Impact Summary – Hall County	4-237
Table 4.93 Wetland and Stream Cumulative Impact Summary	4-239
Table 5.1 Public Scoping Meetings	5-1
Table 5.2 Agency Coordination Meetings	5-2
Table 5.3 Corps Project Staff.....	5-5
Table 5.4 AECOM Project Staff	5-5
Table 5.5 EIS Subconsultants	5-8

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Hall County Water Service Areas Prior to 2006 Intergovernmental Agreement1-2

Figure 1.2 Applicant’s Original Proposed Project (June 2011)1-5

Figure 1.3 History of the Proposed Glades Reservoir Project and the Tri-State Water Dispute.....1-6

Figure 1.4 Applicant’s Current Proposed Project (August 2012).....1-7

Figure 1.5 Hall County Municipalities Map1-10

Figure 1.6 Current Water Distribution System Operated by GPUD.....1-14

Figure 1.7 Existing Water Supply Sources in Hall County1-16

Figure 1.8 City of Gainesville Annual Average Daily Water Production (2000-2012)1-19

Figure 1.9 Hall County Water Use (2000-2012)1-20

Figure 1.10 Historical Population for Hall County, GA1-24

Figure 1.11 Population Projections 2010-2060 (Revised based on OPB 2012 Projections).....1-26

Figure 1.12 Projected Water Demand with Conservation Savings Projections.....1-31

Figure 1.13 Per Capita Water Demands with Conservation Savings Projections1-31

Figure 1.14 Applicant’s Projected Annual Average Daily Water Demand vs. Existing and Potential Future Supplies
1-34

Figure 1.15 The Corps’ Projected Annual Average Daily Water Demand vs. Existing and Potential Future Supplies
1-35

Figure 2.1 Alternatives Identification and Screening Process2-3

Figure 2.2 Combining One or More Water Supply Components to form Water Supply Alternatives2-5

Figure 2.3 Location of Water Supply Components2-10

Figure 2.4 Water Supply Components Remaining After Phase 1A Screening2-14

Figure 2.5 Phase 1 Final Screened Water Supply Components.....2-21

Figure 2.6 Glades Reservoir Safe Yield Analysis2-27

Figure 2.7 Proposed Glades Reservoir Site2-28

Figure 2.8 White Creek Safe Yield Analysis2-29

Figure 2.9 Proposed White Creek Reservoir Site2-30

Figure 2.10 Upper Mud Creek Alternative Site2-31

Figure 2.11 Upper Mud Creek Safe Yield Analysis.....2-32

Figure 2.12 Lower Mud Creek Safe Yield Analysis.....2-33

Figure 2.13 Lower Mud Creek Alternative Site2-34

Figure 2.14 Pass-through Transmission Scenario.....2-35

Figure 2.15 Pipeline Transmission Scenario.....2-36

Figure 2.16 WTP Transmission Scenario2-37

Figure 2.17 Phase 2B Screening –Stream Impacts2-47

Figure 2.18 Phase 2 Screening –Wetland Impacts2-53

Figure 2.19 Project Elements in Applicant’s Proposed Project (L18-G50-PT).....2-65

Figure 2.20 Components in No Action Alternative (L60).....2-69

Figure 2.21 Project Elements in Alternative 1 (L18-G42-PT)2-72

Figure 2.22 Project Elements in Alternative 2 (L18-G42-PL)2-74

Figure 2.23 Project Elements in Alternative 3 (L18-G42-WTP).....2-76

Figure 2.24 Project Elements in Alternative 4 (L30-G30-PT)2-78

Figure 2.25 Project Elements in Alternative 5 (L30-G30-PL)2-80

Figure 2.26 Project Elements in Alternative 6 (L30-G30-WTP).....2-82

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Figure 2.27 Project Elements in Alternative 7 (L43-G17-PT)2-84

Figure 2.28 Project Elements in Alternative 8 (L43-G17-PL)2-86

Figure 2.29 Project Elements in Alternative 9 (L43-G17-WTP).....2-88

Figure 2.30 Project Elements in Alternative 10 (L43-W17-PT)2-90

Figure 2.31 Project Elements in Alternative 11 (L43-W17-PL)2-92

Figure 2.32 Applicant’s Proposed Project Demand and Supply Comparison2-94

Figure 2.33 Alternative 1 – Demand and Supply Comparison.....2-94

Figure 2.34 Alternative 2 – Demand and Supply Comparison.....2-95

Figure 2.35 Alternative 3 – Demand and Supply Comparison.....2-95

Figure 2.36 Variation of Alternative 3 (L43-W17-PT with White Creek Reservoir) - Demand and Supply Comparison.....
2-96

Figure 2.37 Alternative 4 – Demand and Supply Comparison.....2-96

Figure 2.38 Estimated Construction Schedules by Alternative2-100

Figure 3.1 ACF River Basin, Proposed Project Area, and U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Streamflow Gages3-5

Figure 3.2 Chattahoochee River Average Daily Discharge (cfs) at USGS Gage 02331600 at Cornelia, GA (1958– 2012) ..
3-7

Figure 3.3 Chattahoochee River Average Daily Discharge (cfs) at USGS Gage 02336000 at Atlanta, GA (1958– 2012)
3-8

Figure 3.4 Chattahoochee River Average Daily Discharge at USGS Gage 02338000 at Whitesburg, GA (1965– 2012).....
3-8

Figure 3.5 Chattahoochee River Average Daily Discharge at USGS Gage 02341505 at US 280 near Columbus, GA
 (1975–2011).....3-9

Figure 3.6 Apalachicola River Average Daily Discharge at USGS Gage 02358000 at Chattahoochee, FL (1975– 2011).....
3-11

Figure 3.7 Apalachicola River Average Daily Discharge at USGS Gage 02358700 at Blountstown, FL (1975–
 9/30/2011).....3-11

Figure 3.8 Location Map of the Existing Reservoirs in the ACF River Basin.....3-14

Figure 3.9 Chattahoochee River Upstream of Lake Lanier.....3-18

Figure 3.10 Chattahoochee River Average Daily Discharge at Glades Reservoir Pump Station (1958–2011)3-20

Figure 3.11 Chattahoochee River Average Daily Discharge at White Creek Reservoir Pump Station (1958–2011) ..3-20

Figure 3.12 Flat Creek Average Daily Discharge at Glades Reservoir Site (1984–2012)3-22

Figure 3.13 White Creek Average Daily Discharge at White Creek Reservoir Site (1984–2012)3-23

Figure 3.14 Reservoir Storage Pools3-25

Figure 3.15 Lake Lanier/Buford Dam Water Control Action Zones and Average Elevation (2/8/1956–12/31/2012)
3-26

Figure 3.16 West Point Water Control Action Zones and Average Elevation (5/9/1975–12/31/2012) 3-27

Figure 3.17 Walter F. George Water Control Action Zones and Average Elevation (3/13/1963–12/31/2012)3-28

Figure 3.18 Jim Woodruff Average Elevation (2/1/1957–12/31/2012).....3-29

Figure 3.19 Existing Permitted Discharges.....3-39

Figure 3.20 FEMA Flood Zones for the Glades Reservoir3-43

Figure 3.21 FEMA Flood Zones for the White Creek Reservoir3-44

Figure 3.22 Hydrogeologic Provinces of Georgia3-46

Figure 3.23 Physiographic Districts of Georgia3-51

Figure 3.24 Average Annual Temperature at Gainesville (1892–2012).....3-58

Figure 3.25 Typical Monthly Average Temperatures3-58

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Figure 3.26 Average Annual Summer Temperature Differential in Comparison to the Multi-Year Average (1892–2012) in Hall County, GA..... 3-59

Figure 3.27 Total Annual Precipitation in Hall County (1876–2012) (inches [in])..... 3-60

Figure 3.28 Average Monthly Precipitation in Hall County, GA (1872–2012) 3-60

Figure 3.29 Lake Lanier Observed Pool Elevations (1980s)..... 3-62

Figure 3.30 Lake Lanier Observed Pool Elevations (2000s)..... 3-62

Figure 3.31 Total Annual Evaporation (in) (1972–2001) 3-64

Figure 3.32 Average Monthly Evaporation Rate (inches per day [in/day]) 3-64

Figure 3.33 Daily Net Evaporation Rate at Lake Lanier (in) (1939–2012)..... 3-65

Figure 3.34 Average Monthly Net Evaporation Rate at Lake Lanier (in) (1939–2012) 3-65

Figure 3.35 Georgia Ecoregions 3-68

Figure 3.36 Vegetative Communities Based on Land Use Cover..... 3-71

Figure 3.37 NWI Wetlands at the Glades Reservoir Site 3-75

Figure 3.38 NWI Wetlands at the White Creek Reservoir Site 3-76

Figure 3.39 Aerial Photograph of a wetland identified within the Glades Reservoir Footprint (Bing Maps, 2014)..... 3-76

Figure 3.40 Locations of Indiana Bats in Georgia 3-95

Figure 3.41 Locations of Northern Long-Eared Bats in Georgia 3-96

Figure 3.42 Glades Reservoir Block Groups for Census Tract 000201..... 3-107

Figure 3.43 White Creek Reservoir Block Group for Census Tract 950300 3-109

Figure 3.44 Glades Reservoir Communities and Roads..... 3-111

Figure 3.45 White Creek Reservoir Communities and Roads..... 3-114

Figure 3.46 Lake Lanier Recreationally Important Game Fish..... 3-119

Figure 3.47 Boundaries for NRHP-Eligible Properties within Glades Reservoir APE..... 3-140

Figure 4.1. Glades Reservoir Alternative Summary..... 4-5

Figure 4.2 White Creek Reservoir Alternative Summary..... 4-6

Figure 4.3 Surface Water Hydrologic Analysis Direct and Indirect Impact Areas 4-11

Figure 4.4 Lake Lanier Pool Level Influence on Proposed Water Supply Infrastructure Components..... 4-12

Figure 4.5 Historic Lake Lanier Water Level Duration Curve^{1,2}..... 4-16

Figure 4.6 Range of Daily Flow Change at the Chattahoochee River Intake Locations^{1,2,3}..... 4-22

Figure 4.7 Average Daily Flow at the Chattahoochee River Intake for Glades Reservoir: Comparison of the Proposed Project and Baseline 4-24

Figure 4.8 Average Daily Flow at the Chattahoochee River Intake for Glades Reservoir: Comparison of Alternatives 1-3 and Baseline..... 4-25

Figure 4.9 Average Daily Flow at the Chattahoochee River Intake for Glades Reservoir: Comparison of Alternatives 4-6 and Baseline..... 4-26

Figure 4.10 Average Daily Flow at the Chattahoochee River Intake for Glades Reservoir: Comparison of Alternatives 7-9 and Baseline..... 4-27

Figure 4.11 (Close-up) Daily Flow at the Chattahoochee River Intake for Glades Reservoir: Comparison of the Proposed Project and the Baseline during the 2008 Drought..... 4-28

Figure 4.12 (Close-up) Daily Flow at the Chattahoochee River Intake for Glades Reservoir: Comparison of Alternatives 1 to 3 to the Baseline during the 2008 Drought..... 4-29

Figure 4.13 (Close-up) Daily Flow at the Chattahoochee River Intake for Glades Reservoir: Comparison of Alternatives 4 to 6 to the Baseline during the 2008 Drought..... 4-30

Figure 4.14 (Close-up) Daily Flow at the Chattahoochee River Intake for Glades Reservoir: Comparison of Alternatives 7 to 9 to the Baseline during the 2008 Drought..... 4-31

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Figure 4.15 Average Daily Flow at the Chattahoochee River Intake for White Creek Reservoir: Comparison of Alternatives 10-11 and Baseline4-32

Figure 4.16 (Close-up) Daily Flow at the Chattahoochee River Intake for White Creek Reservoir: Comparison of Alternatives 10 and 11 to the Baseline during the 2008 Drought4-33

Figure 4.17 Range of Daily Flow Change below the Proposed Dam.....4-35

Figure 4.18 Average Daily Flow at Flat Creek: Comparison of Proposed Project and Baseline4-38

Figure 4.19 Average Daily Flow at Flat Creek: Comparison of Alternatives 1 - 3 and Baseline.....4-39

Figure 4.20 (Close-up) Daily Flow below Glades Reservoir: Comparison of Alternatives 1-3 to the Baseline during the 2008 Drought.....4-40

Figure 4.21 Average Daily Flow below White Creek Reservoir: Comparison of Alternatives 10-11 and Baseline4-41

Figure 4.22 (Close-up) Daily Flow below White Creek Reservoir: Comparison of Alternatives 10 -11 to the Baseline during the 2008 Drought4-42

Figure 4.23 Range of Daily Flow Change in the Chattahoochee River into Lake Lanier.....4-44

Figure 4.24 Average Daily Flow into Lake Lanier: Comparison of the Proposed Project and Baseline 4-48

Figure 4.25 Average Daily Flow into Lake Lanier: Comparison of Alternatives 1-3 and Baseline4-49

Figure 4.26 (Close-up) Daily Flow into Lake Lanier: Comparison of the Proposed Project to the Baseline during the 2008 Drought.....4-50

Figure 4.27 (Close-up) Daily Flow into Lake Lanier: Comparison of Alternatives 1-3 to the Baseline during the 2008 Drought.....4-50

Figure 4.28 Average Daily Flow into Lake Lanier: Comparison of Alternatives 10-11 and Baseline4-51

Figure 4.29 (Close-up) Daily Flow into Lake Lanier: Comparison of Alternatives 10-11 to the Baseline during the 2008 Drought.....4-52

Figure 4.30 Corps’ Baseline ResSim Model of ACF River Basin (With Select Nodes and Dams labeled)4-54

Figure 4.31 The Existing Baseline Network (Without Projects) and the With Glades and White Creek Reservoir Networks as Modeled in ResSim.....4-55

Figure 4.32 Average Daily Flow at Atlanta: Comparison of the Proposed Project to No Action and Baseline4-63

Figure 4.33 Average Daily Flow at Whitesburg: Comparison of the Proposed Project to No Action and Baseline4-63

Figure 4.34 Average Daily Flow at Columbus: Comparison of the Proposed Project to No Action and Baseline4-64

Figure 4.35 Average Daily Flow at George Andrews: Comparison of the Proposed Project to No Action and Baseline ...
4-64

Figure 4.36 Average Daily Flow at Chattahoochee: Comparison of the Proposed Project to No Action and Baseline.....
4-65

Figure 4.37 Average Daily Flow at Blountstown: Comparison of the Proposed Project to No Action and Baseline...4-65

Figure 4.38 Average Daily Lake Lanier Pool Elevation: Comparison of the Proposed Project to No Action and Baseline.....4-68

Figure 4.39 Lake Lanier Pool Elevation without a Project and the Proposed Project during Drought (May 1985 to May 1987).....4-69

Figure 4.40 Lake Lanier Pool Elevation without a Project and the Proposed Project during Drought (May 2000 to August 2001).....4-69

Figure 4.41 Lake Lanier Pool Elevation without a Project and the Proposed Project during Drought (April 2007 to January 2010).....4-69

Figure 4.42 Average Daily West Point Pool Elevation: Comparison of the Proposed Project to No Action and Baseline 4-71

Figure 4.43 Average Daily Walter F. George Pool Elevation: Comparison of the Proposed Project to No Action and Baseline.....4-74

Figure 4.44 Average Daily Jim Woodruff Pool Elevation: Comparison of the Proposed Project to No Action and

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Baseline.....4-75

Figure 4.45 Total Water Surface Area above Buford Dam at Normal Pool Elevation.....4-76

Figure 4.46 Average Net Evaporation.....4-77

Figure 4.47 Average Daily Discharge from Buford Dam: Comparison of the Proposed Project to No Action and Baseline.....4-80

Figure 4.48 Average Daily Discharge from West Point Dam: Comparison of the Proposed Project to No Action and Baseline.....4-80

Figure 4.49 Average Daily Discharge from Walter F. George Dam: Comparison of the Proposed Project to No Action and Baseline.....4-81

Figure 4.50 Average Daily Discharge from Jim Woodruff Dam: Comparison of the Proposed Project to No Action and Baseline.....4-81

Figure 4.51 Average Daily Energy Generation from Buford Dam: Comparison of the Proposed Project to the No Action and Baseline4-83

Figure 4.52 Average Daily Head from Buford Dam for Baseline (2011) compared to Selected Action Alternatives ..4-85

Figure 4.53 Percent of Time Pool Falls Below RILs at Lake Lanier4-89

Figure 4.54 Percent of Time Pool Falls Below RILs at West Point4-90

Figure 4.55 Percent of Time Pool Falls Below RILs at Walter F. George.....4-91

Figure 4.56 Percent of Time Pool Falls Below RILs at Jim Woodruff4-92

Figure 4.57 Large Water Supply Watershed – Glades Reservoir4-103

Figure 4.58 Small Water Supply Watershed – Glades Reservoir4-104

Figure 4.59 Large Water Supply Watershed – White Creek Reservoir4-105

Figure 4.60 Small Water Supply Watershed – White Creek Reservoir4-106

Figure 4.61 Applicant’s Proposed Project (L18-G50-PT)4-111

Figure 4.62 Alternative 1 (L18-G42-PT).....4-112

Figure 4.63 Alternative 2 (L18-G42-PL).....4-113

Figure 4.64 Alternative 3 (L18-G42-WTP)4-114

Figure 4.65 Alternative 4 (L30-G30-PT).....4-115

Figure 4.66 Alternative 5 (L30-G30-PL).....4-116

Figure 4.67 Alternative 6 (L30-G30-WTP)4-117

Figure 4.68 Alternative 7 (L43-G17-PT).....4-118

Figure 4.69 Alternative 8 (L43-G17-PL).....4-119

Figure 4.70 Alternative 9 (L43-G17-WTP)4-120

Figure 4.71 Alternative 10 (L43-W17-PT).....4-121

Figure 4.72 Alternative 11 (L43-W17-PL)4-122

Figure 4.73 Locations of Indiana Bats in Georgia4-138

Figure 4.74 Locations of Northern Long-Eared Bats in Georgia4-139

Figure 4.75 Glades Reservoir Potential Bat Habitat4-140

Figure 4.76 White Creek Reservoir Potential Bat Habitat4-141

Figure 4.77 Glades Shoals and Webster Lake4-145

Figure 4.78 Fish Survey and Transect Locations in the Chattahoochee River4-152

Figure 4.79 WUA Model for White Bass Habitat.....4-153

Figure 4.80 Range of Flow Conditions Considered at the Glades Intake Location4-154

Figure 4.81 Shallow Choke 11 (SC-1)4-155

Figure 4.82 Glades Reservoir Communities and Roads.....4-160

Figure 4.83 White Creek Reservoir Communities and Roads.....4-163

Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Figure 4.84 Recreation and Public Access – Chattahoochee River between pump station locations and Lake Lanier
(including shallow choke points for assessing fish and boat passages).....4-177

Figure 4.85 Unemployment Rate 2010-2014 – Habersham, Hall, and White Counties4-185

Figure 4.86 Cumulative Impacts Boundary – Water-based Actions4-223